TO:

Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR TRADEMARK

In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been d in the U.S. District Court Northern Districtof California on the following Patents or G. Trademark

filed in the U.S. D		Districtof Cali	on the to		s or G Trademarks:	
PLAINTEF 19-	DATE FILED 2 9 2	012 U.S. D KAW	ISTRICT COURT	orthern Districtof (California	
Softvault Systems, Inc.			DEFENDANT NOVELL, IN			
			NOVELL, IN	· · ·		
DATENT OF	T	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK			
1 6,249,868 BI	6/19/2001	Soft	Softvault Systems, Inc.			
2 6,594,765 B2	7/15/2003	Soft	Softvault Systems, Inc.			
3						
4						
5			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
In the above—entitled case, the following patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:						
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	Amendment	G Answer	G Cross Bill	G Other Pleading	
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK			ER OF PATENT OR 1		
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
	L					
In the above—entitled case, the following decision has been rendered or judgement issued:						
DECISION/JUDGEMENT						
CLERK (BY) D		BY) DEPUTY	CLERK		DATE	
		, 			DATE	

1 2 3 4	BENEDICT O'MAHONEY (Bar No TERRA LAW 177 Park Avenue, Third Floor San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: 408-299-1200 Facsimile: 408-998-4895 Email: bomahoney@terralaw.com	ORIGINAL FILED					
5 6 7 8 9 10	JONATHAN T. SUDER (Pro Hac Vice CORBY R. VOWELL (Pro Hac Vice TODD I. BLUMENFELD (Pro Hac FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4th Street, Suite 200 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 Telephone: (817) 334-0400 Facsimile: (817) 334-0401 Email: jts@fsclaw.com Email: vowell@fsclaw.com Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com	ice To Be Filed) Problem 199 1992 Vice To Be Filed) No. 1997 Problem 199 1992 No. 1997 No.					
12 13 14 15 16	EDWARD W. GOLDSTEIN (Pro Hold GOLDSTEIN LAW, PLLC 1177 West Loop South, Suite 400 Houston, Texas 77027 Telephone: (713) 877-1515 Facsimile: (713) 877-1737 Email: egoldstein@gliplaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.	ac Vice To Be Filed)					
17 18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALLEORNIA						
19	SAN JOSE DIVISION						
20	SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.	COST 2-05541 KAW					
21	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NOS. 6,249,868 AND 6,594,765 JURY TRIAL DEMANDED					
22 23	VS.						
24	NOVELL, INC.						
25	Defendant.						
26							
27							
28							

COMPLAINT FOR INFRINGEMENT OF PATENT

Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. files its Complaint against Defendant NOVELL, INC., alleging as follows:

THE PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC. ("SOFTVAULT") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Washington with its principle place of business in the State of Washington.
- 2. Upon information and belief NOVELL, INC. ("DEFENDANT" or "Novell") is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Provo, Utah. Defendant may be served with process through its registered agent CT Corporation System, 818 West 7th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90017-3407.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This is an action for infringement of United States patents. This Court has exclusive jurisdiction of such action under Title 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).
- 4. Upon information and belief, Novell is subject to personal jurisdiction by this Court. Novell has committed such purposeful acts and/or transactions in the State of California that it reasonably knew and/or expected that it could be hailed into a California court as a future consequence of such activity. Novell makes, uses, and/or sells infringing products within the Northern District of California and has a continuing presence and the requisite minimum contacts with the Northern District of California, such that this venue is a fair and reasonable one. Upon information and belief, Novell has transacted and, at the time of the filing of this Complaint, is continuing to transact business within the Northern District of California. For all of these reasons, personal jurisdiction exists and venue is proper in this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(1), (2) and (c)(2) and 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b).

PATENTS-IN-SUIT

- 5. On June 19, 2001, United States Patent No. 6,249,868 BI ("the '868 Patent") was duly and legally issued for "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EMBEDDED, AUTOMATED, COMPONENT-LEVEL CONTROL OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND OTHER COMPLEX SYSTEMS." A true and correct copy of the '868 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A and made a part hereof.
- 6. On July 15, 2003, United States Patent No. 6,594,765 B2 ("the '765 Patent") was duly and legally issued for "METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR EMBEDDED, AUTOMATED, COMPONENT-LEVEL CONTROL OF COMPUTER SYSTEMS AND OTHER COMPLEX SYSTEMS." A true and correct copy of the '765 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B and made a part hereof.
- 7. The '868 Patent and the '765 Patent are sometimes referred to herein collectively as "the Patents-in-Suit."
- 8. As it pertains to this lawsuit, the Patents-in-Suit, very generally speaking, relate to a method and system of protecting electronic, mechanical, and electromechanical devices and systems, such as for example a computer system, and their components and software from unauthorized use. Specifically, certain claims of the '868 and '765 Patents disclose the utilization of embedded agents within system components to allow for the enablement or disablement of the system component in which the agent is embedded. The invention disclosed in the Patents-in-Suit discloses a server that communicates with the embedded agent through the use of one or more handshake operations to authorize the embedded agent. When the embedded agent is authorized by the server, it enables the device or component, and when not authorized the embedded agent disables the device or component.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Patent Infringement)

- 9. SoftVault repeats and realleges every allegation set forth above.
- 10. SoftVault is the owner of the Patents-in-Suit with the exclusive right to enforce the Patents-in-Suit against infringers, and collect damages for all relevant times, including the right to prosecute this action.
- 11. Upon information and belief, Novell is liable under 35 U.S.C. §271(a) for direct infringement of the Patents-in-Suit because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices, imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and/or systems that practice one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit.
- 12. More specifically, Novell infringes the Patents-in-Suit because it manufactures, makes, has made, uses, practices, imports, provides, supplies, distributes, sells, and/or offers for sale products and systems which prevent unauthorized use of a computer system through the ability to enable or disable the operation of a device's components through an authorization process performed by an embedded agent in the component device and a server. By way of example only, Novell's ZENworks software and specifically ZENworks Mobile Management software, at a minimum, in the past directly infringed and continues to directly infringe at least Claims 1 and 44 of the '868 Patent, as well as at least Claim 9 of the '765 Patent.
- 13. Novell's ZENworks Mobile Management software includes the capability to enable or disable a mobile device, such as a laptop or smart phone, to prevent misuse of the system by rogue devices and/or rogue servers. The ZENworks Mobile Management software includes a ZENworks Mobile Management application that is installed on a mobile device and communicates with ZENworks Mobile Management server. This communication includes a series of message exchanges constituting a handshake operation between the Mobile

Management application and the ZENworks Mobile Management server. Through these exchanges the ZENworks Mobile Management server can authenticate and authorize a device in which the Mobile Management application is embedded. When the Mobile Management application is authorized by the ZENworks Mobile Management server, the mobile device operates normally and when the Mobile Management application is not authorized, the mobile device is remotely locked and disabled.

- 14. Novell has actual notice of the Patents-in-Suit at least as early as the filing of this Complaint.
- 15. SoftVault has been damaged as a result of Novell's infringing conduct. Novell is, thus, liable to SoftVault in an amount that adequately compensates SoftVault for Novell's infringement, which, by law, cannot be less than a reasonable royalty, together with interest and costs as fixed by this Court under 35 U.S.C. § 284.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

SoftVault requests that the Court find in its favor and against Novell, and that the Court grant SoftVault the following relief:

- a. Judgment that one or more claims of the Patents-in-Suit have been infringed, either literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents, by Novell;
- b. Judgment that Novell account for and pay to SoftVault all damages to and costs incurred by SoftVault because of Novell's infringing activities and other conduct complained of herein;
- c. That Novell, its officers, agents, servants and employees, and those persons in active concert and participation with any of them, be permanently enjoined from infringement of the Patents-in-Suit. In the alternative, if the Court finds that an injunction is not warranted, SoftVault requests an award of post judgment royalty to compensate

]]			
	for future infringemen	t;	
d.	That SoftVault be granted pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on		
		o it by reason of Novell's infringing activities	
	and other conduct com		
d.	That this Court declare this an exceptional case and award SoftVault		
		's fees and costs in accordance with 35 U.S.C.	
	§ 285; and		
f.	That SoftVault be granted such other and further relief as the Court		
 	may deem just and proper under the circumstances.		
	<u>JU</u>	RY DEMAND	
Plaintiff hereby requests a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal			
Rules of Civ	vil Procedure.		
DATED: O	ctober 26, 2012.	/s/ Benedict O'Mahoney	
		Benedict O'Mahoney	
		(Bar No.152447) TERRA LAW	
		177 Park Avenue, Third Floor San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: 408-299-1200	
		Facsimile: 408-998-4895 Email: <u>bomahoney@terralaw.com</u>	
		Attorney for Plaintiff	
		SOFTVAULT SYSTEMS, INC.	
		Of Counsel:	
:		Jonathan T. Suder Corby R. Vowell	
		Todd Blumenfeld FRIEDMAN, SUDER & COOKE Tindell Square Warehaves No. 1	
		Tindall Square Warehouse No. 1 604 East 4th Street, Suite 200 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 Telephone: (817) 334-0400 Facsimile: (817) 334-0401 Email: jts@fsclaw.com Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com	
		Telephone: (817) 334-0400 Facsimile: (817) 334-0401	
		Email: jts@fsclaw.com Email: blumenfeld@fsclaw.com	
	d. f. Plain Rules of Ci	d. That SoftVault be granthe damages caused to and other conduct come. d. That this Court declar its reasonable attorney § 285; and f. That SoftVault be granthed may deem just and pro-	

Email: vowell@fsclaw.com

Edward W. Goldstein GOLDSTEIN LAW, PLLC 1177 West Loop South, Suite 400 Houston, Texas 77027 Telephone: (713) 877-1515 Facsimile: (713) 877-1737 Email: egoldstein@gliplaw.com